### Modelling DNA sequence evolution with interacting particle systems

M. Falconnet<sup>1</sup> N. Gantert<sup>2</sup> E.Saada<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université d'Évry Val d'Essonne, CNRS – France

<sup>2</sup>Technische Universität München – Germany

<sup>3</sup>CNRS, Paris Descartes – France

Stochastic Models in Ecology, Evolution and Genetics, Angers, 9-13th December 2013

#### 1 Nucleotidic substitution processes

- The origins: Jukes and Cantor model
- Entering the field of interacting particle systems
- Model properties

#### 2 Extension

- Adding 'cut-and-paste' mechanism
- Results
- How to use the dual process

#### Nucleotidic substitution processes

- The origins: Jukes and Cantor model
- Entering the field of interacting particle systems
- Model properties

#### 2 Extension

- Adding 'cut-and-paste' mechanism
- Results
- How to use the dual process

- A DNA sequence  $\eta$  is an element of  $\{A, T, C, G\}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Independent evolution of the sites according to a Markovian kernel.

Example: Jukes and Cantor model (1969)



- A DNA sequence  $\eta$  is an element of  $\{A, T, C, G\}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Independent evolution of the sites according to a Markovian kernel.

Example: Jukes and Cantor model (1969)



- A DNA sequence  $\eta$  is an element of  $\{A, T, C, G\}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Independent evolution of the sites according to a Markovian kernel.

Example: Jukes and Cantor model (1969)



- A DNA sequence  $\eta$  is an element of  $\{A, T, C, G\}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Independent evolution of the sites according to a Markovian kernel.

Example: Jukes and Cantor model (1969)



- A DNA sequence  $\eta$  is an element of  $\{A, T, C, G\}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Independent evolution of the sites according to a Markovian kernel.

Example: Jukes and Cantor model (1969)



- A DNA sequence  $\eta$  is an element of  $\{A, T, C, G\}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Independent evolution of the sites according to a Markovian kernel.

Example: Jukes and Cantor model (1969)



- A DNA sequence  $\eta$  is an element of  $\{A, T, C, G\}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Independent evolution of the sites according to a Markovian kernel.

Example: Jukes and Cantor model (1969)



- A DNA sequence  $\eta$  is an element of  $\{A, T, C, G\}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Independent evolution of the sites according to a Markovian kernel.

Example: Jukes and Cantor model (1969)



- A DNA sequence  $\eta$  is an element of  $\{A, T, C, G\}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Independent evolution of the sites according to a Markovian kernel.

Example: Jukes and Cantor model (1969)



- A DNA sequence  $\eta$  is an element of  $\{A, T, C, G\}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .
- Independent evolution of the sites according to a Markovian kernel.

Example: Jukes and Cantor model (1969)



#### Extension

### Stochastic nucleotidic substitution models

#### Consequences

- Convergence in distribution at any site
- Convergenge in distribution of the whole sequence to the **product measure**.

#### Problems

- $(a_1 \dots a_\ell)_{\mathrm{obs}} \neq (a_1)_{\mathrm{obs}} \dots (a_\ell)_{\mathrm{obs}}.$
- The substitution rate  $\eta(x) \rightarrow a$  may **depend** on  $\eta(x-1)$ ,  $\eta(x)$  and  $\eta(x+1)$ .

#### Famous example : CpG dinucleotides

- Rate  $C \to T$  up to ten times larger when C is involved in a CpG (in fact  $C^\star pG).$ 

#### JC+CpG model

#### Bérard, Gouéré et Piau, Mathematical Biosciences (2008)

- A DNA sequence  $\eta$  is now doubly infinite, that is, an element of  $\{\mathsf{A},\mathsf{T},\mathsf{C},\mathsf{G}\}^{\mathbb{Z}}.$
- Keep Jukes and Cantor model

	A	Т	С	G
А	•	1	1	1
Т	1	•	1	1
С	1	1	•	1
G	1	1	1	

- Superimpose "double" substitution mechanism

























#### **Properties**

#### Bérard, Gouéré et Piau, Mathematical Biosciences (2008)

- There exists a unique Markov process on  $\mathscr{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  with the transition rates defined before.

- The process is **ergodic**, its unique invariant probability measure  $\pi$  on  $\mathscr{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is **translation invariant** and **ergodic** with respect to the translations on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

- Any collections  $(\eta_x)_{x \in I}$  and  $(\eta_y)_{y \in J}$  are **independent** as soon as  $dist(I, J) \ge 3$ .

### Simulate the evolution of a finite DNA sequence



#### Nucleotidic substitution processes

- The origins: Jukes and Cantor model
- Entering the field of interacting particle systems
- Model properties

#### 2 Extension

- Adding 'cut-and-paste' mechanism
- Results
- How to use the dual process

#### Substitution processes

- Only one coordinate changes in each transition.
- The transition mechanism is specified by a non-negative function c defined on  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathscr{A} \times X$ , with  $\mathscr{A}$  a finite alphabet and  $X = \mathscr{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ .
- We assume that, for any fixed site x and target a, the function  $c(x, a, \cdot)$  depends on  $\eta \in X$  only through a finite set  $S_x^a \subset \mathbb{Z}$  depending on x and a.

#### Sufficient conditions for its existence

$$K = \sup\{c(x, a, \eta) : x \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in \mathscr{A}, \eta \in X\} < \infty$$
(1)

and 
$$s = \sup\{|S_x^a| : x \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in \mathscr{A}\} < \infty.$$
 (2)

#### Example: JC+CpG

$$S_x^A = \{x - 1, x\} \text{ and } c(x, A, \eta) = \begin{cases} 1 + r & \text{if } \eta(x - 1, x) = CG, \\ 1 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$
$$S_x^T = \{x, x + 1\} \text{ and } c(x, T, \eta) = \begin{cases} 1 + r & \text{if } \eta(x, x + 1) = CG, \\ 1 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$
$$S_x^C = \emptyset \text{ and } c(x, C, \eta) = 1,$$
$$S_x^G = \emptyset \text{ and } c(x, G, \eta) = 1.\end{cases}$$

Extension





Extension







#### 'Cut-and-paste' process

- The transition mechanisms are circular permutations of finitely many sites of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and are specified by a transition probability matrix p on  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and a cut rate per site  $\rho \ge 0$ .

- The value  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$  represents the rate at which the coordinate  $\eta(x)$  is transferred to site y. We assume that p is translation invariant on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .



Sufficient condition for its existence:  $\sum |x|p(0,x) < \infty$ 



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright, x, y$ ) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites  $x, x + 1, \ldots, y$  are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites  $y, y + 1, \ldots, x$  are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright, x, y$ ) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites  $x, x + 1, \ldots, y$  are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites  $y, y + 1, \ldots, x$  are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright$ , x, y) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites x, x + 1, ..., y are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites y, y + 1, ..., x are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright$ , x, y) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites x, x + 1, ..., y are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites y, y + 1, ..., x are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright$ , x, y) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites x, x + 1, ..., y are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites y, y + 1, ..., x are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright$ , x, y) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites x, x + 1, ..., y are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites y, y + 1, ..., x are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright$ , x, y) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites x, x + 1, ..., y are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites y, y + 1, ..., x are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright$ , x, y) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites x, x + 1, ..., y are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites y, y + 1, ..., x are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright$ , x, y) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites x, x + 1, ..., y are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites y, y + 1, ..., x are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright, x, y$ ) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites  $x, x + 1, \ldots, y$  are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites  $y, y + 1, \ldots, x$  are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright, x, y$ ) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites  $x, x + 1, \ldots, y$  are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites  $y, y + 1, \ldots, x$  are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright, x, y$ ) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites  $x, x + 1, \ldots, y$  are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites  $y, y + 1, \ldots, x$  are left circularly permuted.



- Mark ( $\circlearrowright, x, y$ ) distributed at rate  $\rho \cdot p(x, y)$ . If x < y, the contents of sites  $x, x + 1, \ldots, y$  are right circularly permuted. If x > y, the contents of sites  $y, y + 1, \ldots, x$  are left circularly permuted.

# Ergodicity for independent evolution models with 'cut-and-paste'

#### **Theorem** (Falconnet, Gantert and Saada)

Assume that the substitution rates are **independent** and are ruled by an irreducible Q-matrix. Then the process is **ergodic** and the invariant measure is the product measure on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

- Especially, for any usual substitution model (JC69, K80, T92, etc.) and any 'cut-and-paste' mechanism invariant by translation, the dynamic of the process is ergodic.

### Ergodicity for substitution processes with 'cut-and-paste' mechanism

Theorem (Falconnet, Gantert and Saada)

Define

$$m = \inf\{c(x, a, \eta) : x \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in \mathscr{A}, \eta \in X\},\$$
  

$$K = \sup\{c(x, a, \eta) : x \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in \mathscr{A}, \eta \in X\},\$$
  

$$s = \max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in \mathscr{A}} |S_x^a|.$$
(3)

Assume that

$$m > 0$$
 and  $(s-1)(K-m) < |\mathscr{A}|m$ .

Then, for any  $\rho \ge 0$ , the superimposition of a substitution process and a 'cut-and-paste' mechanism is **exponentially ergodic**.

Especially, the JC+CpG+'cut-and-paste' model is ergodic as soon as

$$r < 4\lambda$$
.

Method : construction of a generalized dual process. Inspired from Ferrari, Annals of probability (1990).



- a  $\delta$ -mark at site x, the branch **dies**;
- a  $\mathscr{R}^{a}$ -mark at site x, the branch dies and is replaced by 2 new branches.
- a (x, y)-mark, the involved branches are circularly permuted.



- a  $\delta$ -mark at site x, the branch **dies**;
- a  $\mathscr{R}^{a}$ -mark at site x, the branch dies and is replaced by 2 new branches.
- a (x, y)-mark, the involved branches are circularly permuted.



- a  $\delta$ -mark at site x, the branch **dies**;
- a  $\mathscr{R}^{a}$ -mark at site x, the branch dies and is replaced by 2 new branches.
- a (x, y)-mark, the involved branches are circularly permuted.



- a  $\delta$ -mark at site x, the branch **dies**;
- a  $\mathscr{R}^{a}$ -mark at site x, the branch dies and is replaced by 2 new branches.
- a (x, y)-mark, the involved branches are circularly permuted.



- a  $\delta$ -mark at site x, the branch **dies**;
- a  $\mathscr{R}^{a}$ -mark at site x, the branch dies and is replaced by 2 new branches.
- a (x, y)-mark, the involved branches are circularly permuted.



- a  $\delta$ -mark at site x, the branch **dies**;
- a  $\mathscr{R}^{a}$ -mark at site x, the branch dies and is replaced by 2 new branches.
- a (x, y)-mark, the involved branches are circularly permuted.



- a  $\delta$ -mark at site x, the branch **dies**;
- a  $\mathscr{R}^{a}$ -mark at site x, the branch dies and is replaced by 2 new branches.
- a (x, y)-mark, the involved branches are circularly permuted.

#### **Central idea**

- When we go back in time and the dual process meets a  $\delta$ -mark at site x, it is not necessary to go further to know the value of  $\eta(x)$ , because it is determined at that point by an independent random variable.
- Conditions are obtained by coupling with a dying branching process.

#### Extension

#### Literature



#### J. Bérard, J.-B. Gouéré, and D. Piau.

Solvable models of neighbor-dependent nucleotide substitution processes. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 211:56–88, 2008.



#### P. A. Ferrari.

Ergodicity for spin systems with stirrings. *The Annals of Probability*, 18(4):1523–1538, 1990.

#### T. H. Jukes and C. R. Cantor.

Mammalian protein metabolism, chapter Evolution of Protein Molecules, pages 21–132. Academic Press, New York, 1969.



#### T. M. Liggett.

Interacting Particle System, volume 276 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.